

**Minqi's Solutions to the Exercises of Walter
Rudin (1976), Principles of Mathematical Analysis
(3rd Edition)**

Minqi Pan¹

¹Website: <http://www.minqi-pan.com>

Contents

Chapter 1. The Real and Complex Number Systems	1
1.1. Exercise 1: Mixed Operations between Rational and Irrational Numbers Produce Irrational Numbers	1
1.2. Exercise 2: $\sqrt{12} \notin \mathbb{Q}$	1

The Real and Complex Number Systems

1.1. Exercise 1: Mixed Operations between Rational and Irrational Numbers Produce Irrational Numbers

If r is rational ($r \neq 0$) and x is irrational, prove that $r+x$ and rx are irrational.

PROOF. Denote $\alpha = r+x, \beta = rx$.

Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $x = \alpha - r$ is also in \mathbb{Q} , because \mathbb{Q} is a field that is closed with respect to additive inverse and addition. This contradicts with the presumption that $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Suppose $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $x = \beta/r$ is also in \mathbb{Q} , because $r \neq 0$ and \mathbb{Q} is a field that is closed with respect to multiplicative inverse and multiplication. This contradicts with the presumption that $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$. \square

1.2. Exercise 2: $\sqrt{12} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Prove that there is no rational number whose square is 12.

LEMMA 1.1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall p \in \{2, 3\}$,

$$n^2 \equiv 0 \implies n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

PROOF. We check the squares of every element of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$, the ring of integers modulo 2.

$$0^2 = 0$$

$$1^2 = 1$$

Thus $n^2 = 0$ implies $n = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by exhaustion.

Similarly we check the squares of every element in $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2\}$, the ring of integers modulo 3.

$$0^2 = 0$$

$$1^2 = 1$$

$$2^2 = 1$$

Thus $n^2 = 0$ implies $n = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ by exhaustion. \square

LEMMA 1.2. *There is no rational number whose square is 3.*

PROOF. We now show that the equation

$$(1.1) \quad p^2 = 3$$

is not satisfied by any rational p . If there was such a p , we could write $p = \frac{m}{n}$ where $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ are not both true. Let us assume this is done. Then 1.1 implies

$$(1.2) \quad m^2 = 3n^2,$$

This shows that $m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and we have $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ by Lemma 1.1. So $m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. It follows that the right side of 1.2 is divisible by 9, so that $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, which implies that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ again by Lemma 1.1.

The assumption that 1.1 holds thus leads to the conclusion that both $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ are true, contrary to our choice of m and n . Hence 1.1 is impossible for rational p . \square

MAIN PROOF. Suppose that there existed $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $p^2 = 12$. Then there exists $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$p = \frac{m}{n} \implies 12 = \frac{m^2}{n^2} \implies m^2 = 2 \times 6n^2 \implies m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

So it follows from Lemma 1.1 that

$$m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Therefore there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = 2k$, and

$$4k^2 = 12n^2 \implies k^2 = 3n^2 \implies \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 = 3.$$

This contradicts with Lemma 1.2. \square